Governor General Francis Burton Harrison and His Administration: A Re-appraisal

MICHAEL PAUL ONORATO

For the past five decades, Francis Burton Harrison and his administration have been attacked and praised. Most contemporary Republicans viewed him as the puppet of those Filipino politicos who allegedly were intent upon destroying American sovereignty in the Philippines. The Democrats, for their part, felt compelled to praise him as the instrument of the Wilson administration's determination to grant independence to the Philippine Islands. Most politically-conscious Filipinos, with few exceptions, saw Harrison as the American who gave them the opportunity for self-rule; and as a token of Filipino gratitude, he was awarded honorary Philippine citizenship before his death. Today, he lies in Manila's North Cemetery among Philippine heroes and common folk. This essay is a small effort to separate fact from the political rhetoric of fifty years ago. My hope is that this paper will lead to a full study of Governor General Harrison and his administration.2

*Read at the Western Conference, Association for Asian Studies, held at the University of Arizona, 17 October 1969.

1 Whether President Wilson or the Democrat party ever really intended to grant full independence to the Filipinos is not germane to our discussion at this time. It is interesting to note that when Wilson and his party had the opportunity to support the Clarke Amendment to the Jones bill, he and most of the Democratic congressional delegation refused. The amendment would have provided for independence within four years of the passage of the second organic act.

2 There is no biography of Harrison or published study of his administration. Prof. Napoleon Casambre of the University of the Philippines has just completed his doctoral thesis on the Harrison administration. Mr. Casambre took his degree at Stanford University.
The Democratic administration that was inaugurated on March 4, 1913 did not remove the Taft-appointed American administrators from Manila. Instead the Democrat leaders who had argued for Philippine independence for over a decade (albeit with proper safeguards for American strategic and commercial interests in the western Pacific and China) moved cautiously toward a Philippine policy. After years of opposing Republican efforts in the Islands, the Democrats were now confronted with the hard reality of appeasing the growing numbers of vocal Filipinos, who were demanding greater autonomy. At the same time, they had to protect the legitimate interests of a small number of Americans who had invested capital there. They also had to satisfy those grand strategists who saw the Philippines as a stepping-stone to the Asian land-mass and a vital link in the chain of islands spanning the Pacific Ocean—a chain of American-controlled islands.

President Wilson, who was not anxious to rush into any Philippine policy, sent a former Princeton colleague to investigate conditions in Manila. By late summer of 1913, an administration policy seems to have been settled upon: the incumbent governor, W. Cameron Forbes, despite his excellent qualifications, would be removed because of his long association with the Philippine policies of the Republicans (which were essentially those of William Howard Taft); the Filipinos would be given control of the Commission; any increase in executive responsibility at the national level would be denied for the foreseeable future; the pace of filipinization of the civil service as well as Filipino control of their domestic affairs would be speeded up; the passage of a new organic act that would satisfy the minimal demands of the Filipino leaders for an elective Senate, a cabinet comprised of Filipinos, and complete control of their domestic affairs; and, finally, some genuflection in the direction of eventual Philippine independence—perhaps in the form of a written pledge—would be made by the Democrats. It was suggested by some members of the administration that the governor-designate should not take office until he had been on the ground for several months. It was thought he might serve as a Philippine commissioner until he had the opportunity to familiarize himself with conditions in the Philippines. This would permit the selection of someone who was not identified with any interest-group in Manila. The proposal was rejected for the obvious reason that it would have left Forbes in office for several more months, as well as the fact there was no guarantee the method would produce the

---

3 The Commission possessed executive and legislative power. There were five Americans of whom three held portfolios and four Filipinos of whom only one held a portfolio. The commissioners also sat as the upper house of the legislature after the establishment of the Philippine Assembly in 1907.
desired end — namely, a knowledgeable Democrat governor capable of withstanding the onslaught of Filipino and American politicking in Manila. The problem that summer was to find a man who could execute the Democrat policy for the Philippines.

The Democrats, however, had no one of national reputation readily available for the position of governor general. By August 1913, some now nameless Virginian, who had been an Army engineer with some limited experience in the Philippines, appeared as the President’s apparent choice. But somehow Virginian Democratic party politics intervened to make this forgotten man *persona non grata* to William Atkinson Jones, then chairman of the powerful House Committee on Insular Affairs. It was at this point that Congressman Francis Burton Harrison’s name mysteriously appeared as the best possible contender for the office. The mechanics of how this came about is not important at this time. Suffice it to say that his nomination, confirmation and oath of office were accomplished in three weeks. The rapidity of the process left more than a few raised eyebrows in Manila. Regardless of their stateside politics, most Manila Americans were shocked by the appointment. Most Republican papers at home attacked the President for placing a rich, Tammany Hall playboy politician who knew nothing whatever about the Philippines in the supposedly apolitical office of governor general. The Democratic press either played down the appointment or else tried to demonstrate the political acumen of the new appointee. After all, they asserted, Harrison was a rising young member of the House, as well as Oscar W. Underwood’s successor as chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee. Moreover, he was mayoral or gubernatorial timber in New York City and state. He had demonstrable abilities, came from a famous Virginia family, was rich, personable, handsome, and fond of the good life. But he was devoid of nationwide reputation; and he was ignorant of the Philippines.

Why Wilson chose to elevate Harrison to the second highest office then in the American commonwealth is still not understood. Some Washington wags asserted that the President wanted him out of the capital before he could succeed Underwood. Others slightly less charitable said that the administration needed a pliant errand-boy in Manila. (Obviously, those tongue-waggers forgot the stock from which Harrison sprang.) A few observers claimed that Harrison’s democratic leanings—perhaps, his patrician sense of *noblesse oblige*—made him a logical choice.

The new governor had not left Washington when ex-President Taft launched a scurrilous attack upon him. Privately, the former president questioned whether a man who “stole” another man’s wife was morally fit for high office. Publicly, Taft berated Wilson for
sending someone who knew nothing about the Islands. Harrison, who was well aware of his ignorance, sought to become informed before reaching the Philippines.

Upon his arrival in Manila, Harrison told the welcoming crowd that the President had altered the balance on the Commission. The Filipinos would now control both houses of their legislature. In his inaugural address, the new governor set the tone for his long administration—service to the Filipinos in their struggle to prove their capacity for self-rule and independence.

In the days that followed the new governor general called for the resignations of the senior American administrators (most of whom were political appointees) on the obvious grounds of incompatibility with the President's policies for the Philippines. The removal of these leading Americans frightened their countrymen who served in the lower ranks of the Philippine civil service. Fanned by increasing political rhetoric from Republicans in Manila and at home, fear of wholesale firings from the service ran through the American community. Harrison was accused of trying to pack the service with "loyal" Democrats or else putting incompetent Filipinos in the government to satisfy the politicos. On the contrary, Harrison resisted the natural temptation to pack the service with Democrats. And we know that he did not intend to pursue filipinization blindly. In any event, many Americans in the civil service drew their pensions, some transferred into the federal service, others remained behind to enter private business, and a few held on until the Filipino leaders made retirement too attractive to pass up. This left Harrison dependent upon the Filipino leadership to put competent men into the senior posts and lower ranks of the service. Unfortunately, the leaders, especially Manuel L. Quezon and Sergio Osmeña, were more intent upon solidifying their personal power base than worrying about the efficiency, competency, or honesty of their countrymen in the civil service. This willingness to rely upon the Filipinos for advice and assistance enraged those Republicans who saw themselves as Philippine experts.

The incessant attacks upon him — most of them originating with disgruntled Republicans who could not accept the new Philippine policy — angered Harrison. His patrician skin grew thin until any challenge (real or imagined) to his gubernatorial prerogatives whether from foe or friend drew threats of resignation. In January 1915, for

4To this writer's knowledge, Harrison yielded only twice to stateside importuning — once because William Jennings Bryan, who was Wilson's first secretary of state, urged the appointment; the other time, General Frank McIntyre, Chief of the Bureau of Insular Affairs, wanted to help out a fellow Alabaman.
instance, the attempt by President Wilson to stay the execution of General Mariano Noriel, a revolutionary war hero, caused Harrison to challenge the authority of the President to set aside his decision to permit the execution. Harrison stayed the execution; but he cabled his resignation effective upon receipt. Wilson backed down immediately with an apology; and Noriel was hung. The irony of this episode is that General Noriel's crime had been magnified by his political opponents. Harrison, who did not understand Filipino politics or culture fully, could not know this however. But the Filipino leaders had learned a lesson — Harrison was dangerous when crossed; and the administration realized that it had a temperamental man on their hands. In an effort to assuage the governor, Wilson's first secretary of war, Lindley M. Garrison, was forced to spend valuable time defending Harrison from the almost daily tirades of former Manila Republicans and the megalomania of Taft. Nevertheless Harrison felt lonely in Manila; his marriage was floundering; he wanted out by 1916—the end of Wilson's first term. He appealed to his brother, Fairfax, then president of the Southern Railway Company, to help him get a safe ambassadorial post — a retreat with honor. But characteristic of their patrician stock, Fairfax wrote back saying that he would rather see him dead in his grave than leave Manila under a cloud. Harrison never asked for quarter from anyone again.

As far as his administration was concerned during the last years under the commission form of government, it was of mixed quality. The President's choice of American commissioners was poor, if not outright bad. The men were not Harrison's equal in capabilities or determination to put through the Wilson program. For the most part, he was forced to take personal initiative in those areas for which his commissioners should have been responsible. He was thrown upon his own resources. Inevitably, he was forced to look to the Filipinos for advice, counsel, and even close friendship. He was particularly concerned with the lack of economic self-reliance of the people, especially in agriculture, transportation and credit facilities. As far as the Filipino leaders were concerned, they were not overly impressed with his administration. They had seen better and worse American governors. However, they were pleased with his insistence upon pushing the hitherto snail's pace of filipinization. Moreover, he stated that the time had come for the next logical step — turning over domestic control to the Filipino people — in anticipation of independence. All of this scandalized ex-President Taft and other Manila Republicans who saw Harrison as evil incarnate. For them, he was a disgrace to the white race.

Harrison's strenuous efforts on behalf of the second organic act of August 29, 1916 (or the Jones Act as it is more commonly known) are not well-known. Most historians ascribe the passage of that
act to the astute work of the Filipino leaders among the Democrats in Congress. Harrison, figuratively speaking, twisted the arm of anyone in Congress who was the least sympathetic to the Filipinos. He wrote hundreds of letters in support of the Jones bill. Taft became livid whenever he thought of an American governor general pushing for greater autonomy for the Filipinos as well as a forthright pledge of independence from his government for them. Whether Harrison used his own substantial personal prestige among his former colleagues in the Congress because of his ambition to be the last American chief executive, or from some latent desire to strike back at the Republicans, or from a natural wish to make his own large and very real personal sacrifices meaningful, or from a heightened sense of noblesse oblige, is difficult to determine at this time. It is my own opinion that the last two suggestions, while not dismissing the first two, closely approximate Harrison's view from Malacañang, especially after the harrowing experience of the Noriel case. It is doubtful that he pressed hard for the Jones Act out of any real obligation to the presidential program although it provided the cover for his own activities. He must have been aware that his vociferous calls for Philippine independence were not shared by the administration; and he must have known that his persistent demand for Filipino freedom, especially by the end of Wilson's second term, confused and confounded the Philippine leaders. These men were caught between their intense determination to gain self-rule at any price and their own personal inclination to join the governor in promoting independence even though they believed that their people were militarily and economically unprepared. Being practical men, however, they resolved their dilemma by publicly supporting any call for independence while privately reassuring the Democratic administration that they were satisfied with continued American sovereignty so long as they gained greater autonomy.

The Jones Act (which extended the area of self-rule) and its preamble (that promised independence as soon as a stable government was established\(^5\)) was the compromise worked out between the

---

5 The Democratic insistence upon the preamble is further evidence of the party and its leader's ambivalence toward Filipino freedom. The inclusion of the preamble without congressional definition of what was meant by "stable government" was viewed with cynicism by many Republicans. The preamble made the Democrats look good; and it gave the Filipino leaders something to propagandize about. But the preamble did nothing to hasten Philippine independence. In fact, some observers regarded it as a subtle maneuver to forestall absolute Filipino freedom. After all, the Manila government was stable in 1916. According to the commonly accepted definition of the day, it was
Democrats and the Filipino leadership. It was a conservative *quid pro quo*: greater Filipino autonomy for continued American sovereignty. The bill did not satisfy the Filipino leaders however. Of course, they praised it to the heavens and dedicated plazas, monuments and a bridge in Manila to William Atkinson Jones. But the act left substantial power in the hands of the American governor general, including the right to veto the legislative acts of the Filipinos. This continued American presence at the executive level was resented bitterly. Fortunately, for the leaders, Harrison, who was retained as governor, was willing to go far toward reducing his office to ceremonial status. This satisfied them to a large extent. Yet, for some inexplicable reason, he refused to take the irrevocable step of reducing himself to the level of today's Canadian governor general.

Under the Jones Act, Harrison was forced to rely upon the Filipinos even more than ever. His cabinet officers, except for the Secretary of Public Instruction (who served as Vice-Governor) were Filipino; and the civil service was all but staffed by Filipinos. The few hundred Americans left were teachers or else employed in areas from which Filipinos could not be recruited. By 1921, the Philippine government was all but controlled by Filipinos.

Harrison's administration under the Jones Act just like the years under the commission government was of mixed quality. On balance, however, the leaders were pleased with the manner in which he conducted himself — he showed the proper concern for the people's welfare, he made good suggestions, but he was not officious in pressing his views upon them.

At home, the Republicans having lost the battle over the Jones Act tended to ignore him. If they noticed his existence at all, it was to point a wagging finger at his adulterous affairs and continued intimate friendship with certain Filipino leaders. The Democrats, despite his notorious private life, were willing to keep him in Manila. After all, he seemed to please the leaders; and his removal might be construed by the Republicans as belated vindication of their claims concerning him. But the fact of the matter is that neither his personal affairs nor his close association with the leaders caused him to sacrifice the real or imagined interests of the United States in the Philippines or western Pacific.

It was only after his return from Washington in late 1919 after living in Manila for five years and his divorce and marriage to a young American (who was scarcely out of her teens) from Manila that Harrison began to show less concern for his office. Whether his capable of maintaining law and order, had popular support, and was able to meet its international indebtedness.
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personal affairs, or some desire to maintain his friendship with the Filipinos lest they demand his removal, or some philosophical view that the Filipinos had the right to do what they wanted (short of declaring independence from America), stopped him from halting the wholesale looting of the government-owned Philippine National Bank, the rapid deterioration of the public services, and the flagrant misuse of patronage and the pork barrel by the politicos is not known at this point. All we can note is that he was aware that the Philippines was sliding toward political, social and economic chaos. Yet, he seemed unable or unwilling to initiate firm measures to restore competency, honesty and efficiency in his administration. By the inauguration of President Warren G. Harding on March 4, 1921, the Philippine government, as well as the Philippine National Bank, was virtually bankrupt. The economy was shattered as a result of the sudden end of high prices for Philippine commodities caused by World War I. Moreover, the leaders had over-indulged themselves by entering the business world with public funds; and the government corporation proved to be a millstone about their necks. The wreckage of nearly two decades of orderly, stable government lay strewn about for everyone to see, to mock or else cry over.

Few Filipinos today (or even fifty years ago) care to recall that his administration led to the doubling of the national indebtedness, the death of several hundred thousand of their countrymen from diseases wiped out or controlled by previous administrations, and the deterioration of the public services. For them, and rightly so, Harrison is remembered as the American who hurried the pace of filipinization and turned over control of domestic affairs to their leaders. It is doubtful if any other governor general would have followed Harrison's course of action: promoting filipinization, socializing with the Filipino leaders, pushing independence, and reducing the stature of his office. Probably, another Democrat would have followed Wilson's directives without much imagination. Harrison was the right man at the right time as far as the Filipino leaders were concerned. For whatever his reason — noblesse oblige, personal ambition, frustration, or the desire to make his own sacrifice worthwhile — Harrison was willing to promote Filipino self-respect and confidence in their capacities.

On September 6, 1969, Dr. Victor G. Heiser (who is, perhaps, the only senior American administrator alive today) said, in an interview with this writer, that Harrison believed that the people should do whatever they wanted in order that they might learn from their experiences.

For an examination of the chaotic conditions in the Philippines at the end of the Harrison administration, see my article, "The Wood-Forbes Mission, "Philippine Historical Bulletin, VII (March 1964), 1-19.
We know that he was not proud of the wreckage left behind. However, he did console himself with the knowledge that he had done his work so well, especially in the area of filipinization, that no succeeding governor general, administration or Congress could challenge Filipino autonomy.8

If there is any last word today, it must be that Francis Burton Harrison was neither a monster nor a hero. It is time that we put aside the political rhetoric of fifty years ago and start anew our study of the Harrison administration.

On The Koxinga Threat of 1662

CHARLES J. McCARTHY, S.J.

A pivotal point in the colonial history of Spain and the Philippines was Governor Sebastian Manrique de Lara's 1662 decision, in response to threats from Koxinga, to strip his outposts in Iligan, Zamboanga and the Moluccas of their garrisons, and to take costly, draconian measures in Manila. These measures included banishment or sequestration of the Chinese in the Islands. The subsequent dissipation of the Koxinga threat made his precautionary steps appear unnecessary. At the time, Jesuit missionaries protested the decisions which De Lara felt he had to make, and since then, historians have censured the Governor for having thrown away immense resources because of panic.

A suspicion, as a consequence of the hysteria during the Koxinga incident, survived through the years that the Sangleys (overseas Chinese) of Luzon were a potential fifth column, likely to prove disloyal in time of foreign attack. This suspicion of political unreliability has become, perhaps even to these days, part of a stereotype detrimental to full sympathy and integration between Filipinos and Chinese.

These pages are written with intent to offer material for a fairer judgment of De Lara's policies, and for a more understanding assessment of the plight and losses experienced by the Manila Chinese during this tragic episode.

In 1664 non-Chinese Manchus from northeast “borderlands” beyond the Great Wall ousted a worn-out Chinese Ming dynasty from the imperial throne of Peking. Down the north-China plain, and then on to Yunnan in the southwest and Canton in the southeast, forces, Chinese by race and loyal to the Ming rulers, fought a rear-guard action for more than fifteen years. The fighting was sporadic, but at times it was appalling in savagery. Chinese records tell how non-combatants in several besieged or ravaged cities perished literally by the hundreds of thousands. Early in the turmoil, in 1643, Li Tzu-chéng tried to take Kaifeng in Honan province, but found the city's 50 foot walls too high to scale and too stout to breach by projectiles and mines. He withdrew to higher ground, got boats or rafts for his army, and cut away a great hole in the Yellow River embankment at Nia Chia-K'ou, not far from Kaifeng. In the countryside 100,000 peasants perished; the flood swept into Kaifeng through its north gate.
A million people were crowded within the city; of these scarcely one-tenth escaped alive.¹

The city of Yangchow is strategically placed where the Grand Canal (China's north-south trade artery) meets the north bank of the Yangtse river, the great east-west waterway. There the Ming general, Shih K'o-feng in 1646 bravely defied siege by Manchu hordes. When they broke in, the Tartars killed, raped, and burned ruthlessly. "Here and there on the ground," an eyewitness recounted, "lay small babies who were trampled either under the hooves of the Manchu horses or the feet of soldiers. The ground was stained with blood and covered with mutilated and dismembered bodies, and the sound of sobbing was heard everywhere in the fields. Every gutter and pond was filled with corpses lying one upon the other. The blood turned the water to a deep greenish-red color, and the ponds were filled to the brim. . . . According to the official records of bodies found, the total number of those who perished during those days was 800,000, but this does not include those whose bodies were consumed in the flames or who drowned themselves in the river."²

"Canton, after an investment of 10 months, was captured and a horrible massacre took place in which over 100,000 persons perished. In Szechwan the Ming 'Western Monarch' reacted to an uprising by putting 30,000 literati to death. . . . This monarch believed his army would be invincible if only his soldiers were free of domestic ties. So, at his bidding, 4,000 women were slain."³

In the tide of war, an old walled city could change swiftly from being a safe shelter to being a fearsome death-trap. Although China then was somewhat sealed off under a bell jar, without a mass media communicating news to or from the outside world, it is hard to see how echoes of these events would not reach the Manila Sangleys, and then scurry next door to the rumor-prone people of Intramuros. Within fairly well-recorded history, and without benefit of A-bombs, terror struck at hearts with intensity not surpassed by Hiroshima, Stalingrad, or Dresden in World War II.⁴

² "Diary of an Eye-witness," Ibid., pp. 188-207.
⁴ "This was the greatest conflagration and havoc the world has seen. . . . only populous China could be the fit theatre for such a tragedy and only the cruel barbarity of the Tartars could make them the inventors and executors of such destruction." Casimiro Diaz, O.S.A., Conquistas de las Islas Filipinas (Valladolid: Luis de Gaviria, 1890), p. 619.
The pro-Ming patriots broke into segments. Tasting defeat, they became demoralized. But one of their leaders, Cheng Ch'eng-kung, proved himself an able and resolute commander on land and sea. Macao Portuguese wrote his name into Western annals as Koxinga; the Dutch, with piracy aplenty in their hearts, dubbed him for Western history as a pirate. But in China, in his own time and today, he has been regarded as a patriot-hero. Koxinga fought loyally for the Ming cause. He took Amoy in 1653, after storming and sacking other cities along the China coast. In the Yangtse river he seized Tsungming island and the important forts at Chinkiang. He led 50,000 cavalry and 70,000 foot-soldiers in the Yangtse campaign. Ten thousand of the infantry wore armor of mail and were known as the Iron Men. In the front ranks of Koxinga's battle array, they hacked the hooves from the Tartar horses which the dread Manchu cavalry rode. When, however, he tried to

Koxinga was born in Japan; his Japanese mother was of illustrious family. His father, Cheng Chih-lung (likewise known as Iquan or Icoa) played a part in Philippine history. Without paying for them, he picked up oriental goods from cargo ships plying the Nagasaki-Taiwan and Amoy-Taiwan sea lanes, and at cut-price but pure profit marketed a share of them in Manila, with few questions asked, for export to Mexico and the western world. Governor Corcuera cast upon Cheng Chih-lung some blame for occasioning the Sangley massacre of 1639, but gave no details to prove intrigue (cf. de Zúñiga, *Estadismo*, II, p. 53). Cheng served under the Ming regime as commander of the imperial fleet in South China, and later held office under the Manchus also. Finally, however, he was recalled to Peking where in 1661 he was executed. In his youth, at Macao, he had been baptized a Christian and named Nicolas. Some historians (Goddard and de Zúñiga) say that he had come to reside in the Manila Parian as merchant and tailor, and that his baptism took place here, before he settled in Japan. Conversant with the Chinese, Portuguese, Spanish, and Japanese languages, he readily found employment from the Dutch as interpreter and middleman. What he learned from them about the basics of sea power, he soon applied on his own to the waters and ships of the China Sea; by 1630 he directed a fleet of his own out of Amoy. The 1661 sentence of execution was passed on him in Peking when Koxinga, his son, flatly refused to turn the fleet over to the Manchu regime. Cheng Chih-lung, in his last days, returned to the Christian faith which he had neglected for four turbulent decades. He attended Mass daily in a small Peking chapel; two missionary friends, the Portuguese, Gabriel de Magalhães and the Italian Luigi Buglio, administered the Sacraments to him before he died (Goddard and Davidson).
re-take the city of Nanking, dissension among his officers snatched victory from him just when it seemed within his grasp.  

This Nanking defeat made Koxinga return to his base along the Fukien coast. The Manchu troops could not dislodge him directly, but they moved towns and villages inland, and scorched the earth in a belt six-leagues wide around his mainland bridgehead. Koxinga could only look across the Straits of Formosa for the safe base and ample provisions he needed. He assembled an expeditionary force of 26,000 crack troops, sailed from Amoy, and soon enough forced the 2,200-man Dutch garrison at Fort Zelandia (Tainan of today) to surrender all of Formosa to his Chinese rule. 

In May, 1662, Koxinga sent de Lara an embassy and letter demanding that the Manila authorities submit promptly and fully to his sovereignty. Since 1653 he had held de facto control of the waters and cities where Philippine-China trade flourished. The Governor of Manila, Manrique de Lara, had entered rather friendly trade agreements with him in 1656. Koxinga's success in seizing Taiwan, however, emboldened him to try to extend his island base (for recovery of the mainland) by adding to it the Philippines, wrested from the Spaniards. The letter read, in part: “You have oppressed and maltreated our junk traders as badly as did the Dutch anywhere; you have been double-dealers and sowers of discord. I have hundreds of thousands of trained troops and thousands of ships, and am now based only a day's voyage from the Philippines. I first thought of going with my fleet to punish your evil ways, but then reflected that in recent years you have shown some readiness for repentance.

6 This “purpose to be crowned at Nanking and regain the kingdom from the Tartar ruler of Great China had caused Koxinga's cruelty, so great that more than three million had died for his satisfaction alone.” (The report, Events in Manila, 1662-1663, in Blair and Robertson, History of the Philippines, vol. 36, p. 252. The writing of this document is placed at July 1663; Manila, then, really knew of Koxinga's mainland ruthlessness.)

7 Precautions against Koxinga in Fukien and Chekiang “wrought more destruction than his cruelty could have.... The Manchus felt forced to depopulate the extensive coasts, a strip of land six leagues deep, embracing cities of one to two hundred thousand inhabitants, lest they furnish supplies and men to Koxinga”, (Events... Blair and Robertson vol. 36, p. 252).

8 “Men uprooted in the scorched-earth zone, finding themselves without land or settled way of life, crowded into the corsair's service to spend their lives or maintain themselves on the abundant booty offered them by his power as absolute master of the seas”. Ibid., p. 253.
So I keep the fleet here in Taiwan and send this embassy, announcing that the Spaniards must acknowledge my sovereignty and send me tribute. Otherwise, I shall destroy you all."⁹

Fr. Vittorio Ricci, an Italian Dominican, was the ambassador who bore this stern message to Manila, May 5, 1662. Ricci had served the spiritual needs of Manila Sanglesys from 1648 to 1655, and then had preached seven years in Amoy, gaining the respect and confidence of Koxinga. Koxinga’s military resources at the time seemed formidable indeed. His fleet was large, and his fighting men bade fair to sweep aside the thin ranks of Spanish defenders in the Philippines.¹⁰ Terror sat on his side, for the soldiers of the Ming-Tartar battles had been hardened to atrocity and slaughter.¹¹ The swift collapse of Dutch defenses in Formosa must have impressed the Spanish Governor; it was the first major defeat of western forces by orientals. With full concurrence of his Council, however, De Lara decided to send by Ricci a reply flatly rejecting Koxinga’s demand to surrender. But the demand spurred him to drastic action.

From Mindanao and the Moluccas, the Governor recalled whatever Spanish garrison forces were posted there. This measure took Spain permanently out of what is modern Indonesia, and set back by decades the “pacification” of Mindanao. By hindsight, de Lara’s move has been judged unwise even tactically, for it did little to build up Manila’s fighting strength. Had these troops been left free to operate outside Manila, they could later have created a diversion, troublesome to forces which might attack the city itself.¹² Yet at the time, Manila’s defenses were woefully weak; without more troops de Lara must have seen that he could not offer even token resistance to forces of the quantity and quality Koxinga commanded.

In Manila, the Governor levied native troops who swaggered and breathed bold threats as young recruits do.¹³ The residents were put

⁹ Text in Pedro Murillo Velarde, S.J., Historia de la provincia de Philipinas (Manila, 1749: Nicolas de la Cruz Bagay), n. 642.

¹⁰ Eyewitnesses told de Lara that Koxinga had 15,000 junks; many of them carried 40 guns. (Events..., in Blair and Robertson, v. 36, p. 220.) “Manila had not 600 soldiers, and of these hardly 200 were in condition for the hardships of a campaign or for service on the walls.” Ibid.

¹¹ “For that arrogant tyrant, it was the same to slay five or six thousand men as it was to kill one,” Ibid., p. 256.


¹³ “Irresponsible soldiers told the Sanges they were to have their heads cut off, and were men already condemned to death. They
to work feverishly digging ditches and filling breastworks. The city
took on the tone of an armed camp. Muñoz, an engineer among the
friars, designed and built a fortified gate able to mount 20 heavy
guns, "which could fire directly at the Chinese in the Parian, our
domestic enemies," he wrote with strange relish. This hubbub, and
the sense of grave threat over the city, naturally alarmed the Chinese
in the Parian.

To obtain more quickly ready-cut stone for his elaborate new
fortifications, and to clear the city's approach of vantage points an
enemy might use for observation or command posts, or to screen its
attack, de Lara ordered the main suburban churches (of Bagumbayan,
Ermita, Malate, Paranaque, Dilao, Santa Cruz and Binondo) levelled
to the ground. "How much more harm could this heathen, Koxinga,
have done us, even had he actually come?" men asked. The country-
side was scoured to provision the city against siege; the south was
stripped of defenders. Construction work on walls and fort, which
normally would have been slow and costly, went up rapidly and with
no great output of money.

De Lara and his Council feared that the Chinese who then lived
in the Philippines might "in an emergency dangerously divide our
attention and forces." The majority wanted to banish the 11,000
non-Christians and let the 4,000 Christian Chinese remain. They soon
learned, however, that they lacked shipping-space to deport so many.
"One junk departed for Taiwan with 1300 deportees, so crowded that
they could hardly sit down; the cost to each was ten pesos." De
Lara then ordered all the Chinese still in the city or in the provinces
to gather in a Parian-Binondo internment zone.

As in other cases, the several accounts of various historians reflect
considerable confusion in the details and sequence of the events they
narrate. Fr. De La Costa writes: "De Lara's ordinance was broadcast
in Manila and the provinces. Again mobs thirsting for loot taunted
and harried the Chinese into providing them with some excuse for
anticipating the ordinance. A demonstration of the Parian residents
uttered a thousand insults and inflicted many injuries on them." (Events...in Blair and Robertson, v. 36, p. 222.)

14 Letter of Muñoz, in Colin, III, p. 825 (cited by Santamaria,

15 "From the first news of Ricci's embassy and Koxinga's letter,
the Sangleys were afraid for their lives and safety." (Events......
loc. cit., p. 240).

16 Events, loc. cit., p. 240.

17 "By May 26, so many lies were current against the Sangleys,
and these were so well received by those who desired to destroy them,
persons actuated more by avarice and selfishness than by interest in
before the city gate was mistaken by the garrison for a general uprising. With no more ado, they shelled the entire quarter, causing much carnage and sending the survivors along with the Chinese from the suburbs fleeing out into the countryside. Manrique de Lara had succeeded in producing precisely what he wanted to forestall, a Chinese rebellion.”

De Zuñiga reports that the Chinese merchants “believed that the knife was already at their throats; a number fled to the mountains, from which some passed at great risk in small boats to Formosa. On the day the Governor summoned the Chinese leaders to inform them that they must leave the Islands, the rest of the Chinese, believing they were all to be murdered, took up arms. But the Dominican friars had enough influence to prevail on them to keep quiet.”

Davidson, with access to Formosan sources, says that violence broke out with the killing of a Spaniard in the market-place. Suddenly artillery fire poured into the Parian (a loaded gun not seldom goes off.) “Many peaceful Chinese traders, in terror, hanged themselves; many were drowned trying to reach small boats and escape to sea. A few did safely arrive in Taiwan and joined Koxinga’s camp.... Eight or nine thousand Chinese remained quiet, ready for any emergency; they were suddenly attacked by the Spaniards and natives. The confusion was general until the Governor sent the ambassador, Fr. Ricci, and a certain friar, Jose de Madrid, to parley with them. The Chinese accepted the terms offered through Ricci, the welfare of the community, that even the more cautious and prudent were assailed by doubts.... Evil advisers are the great danger.... One seldom finds a person who is not interested in the ruin of the Sangleys: some led by prospect of loot, some borrowers from the Chinese with loans to repay, some guarantors of profligate borrowers, some holders of merchandise bought from Sangley merchants on credit, some ‘friends’ to whom the Chinese had entrusted articles or moneys for safekeeping. Simply to liquidate the Chinese and so clear accounts without payments was an alluring temptation. In 1639 it was found that those in whom the Sangleys put more confidence were the first and most importunate voters for their ruin”. (“Events....,” loc. cit., pp. 230, 232.)

18 “It was evident their determination was not to revolt, but to flee as best they could from the death which they regarded as certain” (“Events....,” loc. cit., p. 223).

19 The Jesuits in the Philippines, p. 484.

20 “On the morning of the 25th, the Governor tolled a bell to summon all the Sangley ship captains in port to a meeting, so that more security could be provided the fear ridden Sangleys in the Parian. All the Chinese watched until thirty of the more uneasy, seeing the last captain about to enter the gate, intercepted him that he might become their leader. A guard on the walls suspected them of greater
who returned to consult the Governor, leaving Fr. de Madrid with the rebels. But when Ricci went back with a general pardon and a promise to restore the two junk masters, he found that malcontents had beheaded the priest. A general carnage of the Chinese followed. Davidson suggests a larger and more general loss of life than occurred. De Lara, by restraint and fairness, kept the number of casualties far below what it might have been.

About 2,000 Chinese rejected the offer and withdrew to the San Mateo hills, fortifying themselves there. Francisco Lacsamana, the Filipino master-of-camp, with a force of Pampango militia, pursued them and killed 1,000 Chinese. The rest surrendered; of these, two ringleaders were executed. On July 10, Ricci sailed for Taiwan with de Lara’s reply to Koxinga’s “ultimatum”. Upon reaching Taiwan, he was accused by Manila escapees of having betrayed Chinese interests, and for a time was in grave personal danger. Koxinga heard of the threats and slaughter suffered by the Manila Chinese, and at once began to organize a large expedition which would wreak vengeance on the Spaniards.

Suddenly, however, the whole menace causing all this commotion was dissipated. The Chinese invasion fleet never left Taiwan. On Jan. 10, 1663, after a week of coughing and fever, Koxinga died “of an illness which had been plaguing him a long time”, apparently tuberculosis.22

In Amoy, Cheng Ching, Koxinga’s eldest son, claimed the right to succeed his father. He had, however, been in Koxinga’s disfavor, because he had begotten a son and heir-apparent by one of his father’s concubines. The Formosan “court” did not want to see this child (Cheng, K’o-tseng) of such antecedents advanced to the position of successor to headship of the rising dynasty or House of Cheng. An uncle, Cheng Shih-hsi, claimed the right to rule Formosa, but when Cheng Ching landed there with his troops the uncle yielded to him without fighting.

At about this time the Manchus, subjugating west and southwest China, had driven the chief Ming pretender into Burma. Mopping-up designs, took up his weapon and, without the order he should have had for doing so, shot down some Sangleys. The Sargento Mayor fired two cannons... and bloodshed began.” (De Zuniga, History, p. 118; Cf. “Events...,” in Blair and Robertson, v. 36, p. 223.)

21 James W. Davidson, The Island of Formosa (Taiwan, 1903), p. 55.

22 Historians are not agreed on these exact dates; perhaps discrepancies between Chinese and western calendars have not been rightly reconciled.
work in that sector was almost completed, and the Tartar force was ready to return and close a pincer from west and north on the resistance pocket along the southeast coast. Dutch forces also reappeared in China waters and seemed hopeful of retaking Formosa. With help from the Dutch, the Manchu forces occupied Amoy and the last loyalist strongholds on the mainland coast. (However, reciprocal help was not forthcoming from Peking when the Dutch sailed against Taiwan and asked for Manchu aid.)

Notwithstanding these pressures, some of Koxinga’s lieutenants urged his son to carry on the planned campaign against Luzon. But most of his counsellors advised against it. Ricci persuaded Cheng Ching that he had more advantage to gain from trade with the Spaniards than from armed action. In April 1663, Cheng Ching sent Ricci again as legate to Manila. No conditions to peace were imposed beyond restitution of expropriated Sangley properties which remained in the custody of private citizens. In Manila, the need of Chinese craftsmen and traders was being keenly felt. Ricci concluded a treaty of amity and commerce between the new Taiwan regime and the Spaniards.

This crisis was an aftershock of upheaval during the mainland change of dynasties. As in other outbreaks, however, brooding mistrust, antipathy and lack of communication escalated a needless tragedy so that it shattered many homes, destroyed much property, and cut short a thousand lives and more. Deep and lasting wounds were inflicted upon fraternal good will between Chinese and Filipinos. Fear and suspicion only provoked more fear and suspicion; oppressive treatment begot rebellion. “If you want your neighbor’s dog to be enraged, announce that it is rabid,” wrote Rizal in this precise context.

---

23 “Koxinga’s plan early in 1662 was: Provoke a war and take the Philippines. With his son, Cheng Ching, controlling the south coast of China with his fleet, and with his own dominance over the Pesca-dores and Formosa, possession of the Philippines would complete Koxinga’s command of the China Sea, and assure him of good bases and supplies for a campaign to recover the mainland, including Peking. He could land a two-pronged force, first, in South China and then in North China, crowd the Manchus into an ever-narrowing corridor, and eventually close the pincers to crush these invaders of his country into its dust. Then Ming emperors would rule over China again. It does not seem that Koxinga planned to set up his own ‘House of Cheng’ as a new dynasty.” [W. C. Goddard, Formosa, A Study in Chinese History (Michigan State U. Press and Macmillan, London, 1966), pp. 83-85].

Extremism and inhumanity raise walls which keep people at a distance affectively for long years, even though, as every Chinese child learns early: "Sshu hai chih nei chieh hsiung-ti yeh—Within the four seas, all men are brothers."
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